The purpose of this article is to summarize the newest dental x-ray guidelines from the National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (NCRP) report No. 145, which was released in December 2003, and alert dentists to the potential impact on their office radiographic procedures.1

The NCRP is a nonprofit corporation chartered by Congress in 1964 to perform the following tasks:

(1) Collect, analyze, develop, and disseminate in the public interest information and recommendations about (a) protection against radiation, and (b) radiation measurements, quantities and units, particularly those concerned with radiation protection.

(2) Provide a means by which organizations concerned with the scientific and related aspects of radiation protection and of radiation quantities, units and measurements may cooperate for effective utilization of their combined resources, and to stimulate the work of such organizations.

(3) Develop basic concepts about radiation quantities, units and measurements, about the application of these concepts, and about radiation protection.

(4) Cooperate with the International Commission on Radiological Protection Units and Measurements and other national and international organizations, governmental and private, concerned with radiation quantities, units, and measurements and with radiation protection.

In short, this agency periodically assesses new technology and radiation safety practices to make recommendations about radiological procedures based on available scientific data. In reality, the recommendations are usually adopted by educational institutions and government agencies first, then become part of the end-users clinical practice. The American Dental Associations Council on Scientific Affairs cited 4 NCRP reports in its 2001 report entitled. An Update on Radiographic Practices: Information and Recommendations.2 NCRP report No. 145 supersedes the initial report for dentistry, No. 35, published in 1970.3 The NCRP also develops and publishes guidelines for all aspects of diagnostic medical x-ray use, veterinary x-ray use, and the nuclear industry, among others.

Definitions of shall and shall not and should and should not in the NCRP report are as follows:

(1) As used in the report, the terms shall or shall not mean that adherence to the recommendation is considered necessary to meet accepted standards of protection.

(2) The terms should or should not are used to indicate a prudent practice to which exceptions may occasionally be made in appropriate circumstances.

Our interpretation of the ways these new guidelines will affect you and your office staff follows. These new recommendations will do the following:

- Dramatically change the use of leaded aprons and thyroid collars.
- Mandate the use of rectangular collimation for x-ray generators.
- Mandate the use of selection criteria how a dentist must prescribe radiographs.
- Mandate the establishment of a radiation protection program for each dental office.
- Mandate radiation safety training of all office staff who perform dental x-rays in the office.
Mandate collimation for cephalometric images to the precise clinical area.

Mandate that dentists not use sight development for evaluating their films.

Mandate employing a qualified expert to determine shielding requirements for new or remodeled dental facilities.

Mandate use of radiation badges for some office staff and all pregnant office staff.

All practicing dentists are interested in minimizing the x-ray dose to patients in dental offices. The dental profession, including the commercial vendors of x-ray products, has made substantial improvements in keeping these patient doses to an absolute minimum; i.e., to apply the ALARA principle (As Low As Reasonably Achievable). We have protected patients by using faster and faster image receptors, collimating x-ray beams to as small a skin surface area as possible (more on this later), protecting patients with lead aprons and thyroid collars, and now, switching to solid-state image detectors. X-ray machines are even examined and calibrated on a regular basis by a state radiation safety officer. Now, more is required.

It is important for each dentist to realize that, based on past reports issued by NCRP, this report will be cited as the current standard of practice for all x-ray procedures in the dental office. Practitioners must comply if they want to eliminate or at least reduce their risk of potential liability.

New X-ray Protective Device Changes

Protective X-Ray Devices Leaded Aprons

The use of leaded aprons on patients shall not be required if all other recommendations in this report are rigorously followed. Lead aprons have long been used in dental offices and institutions for both intraoral and extraoral radiographic procedures as a means of protecting patients. The changes outlined in this report will significantly alter our radiation hygiene procedures and our patients’ perception of the need for radiographs. It is hoped that specialized groups and legislative bodies such as the FDA, NCRP, American Dental Association, and all state dental associations will be prepared for the inevitable questions adult patients will ask when they learn that we will not be using a leaded apron when taking dental x-rays. Imagine the reaction(s) of patients who have already mentioned their concerns about being exposed to dental x-rays and who have been told for years that all the necessary precautions are being taken, including the use of the leaded apron. These patients are likely to react poorly to this new recommendation.

It is our hope that relevant agencies develop a comprehensive educational campaign for dentists, who will attempt to adhere to these new guidelines, and for the public, who might balk at the nonuse of aprons in offices.

Protective X-ray Devices Thyroid Collars

Thyroid collars will be mandatory for children, the evidence being that their thyroid tissues are highly sensitive to x-radiation. The recommendation reads, Thyroid shielding shall be provided for children. For adults, the recommendation reads and should be provided for adults, when it will not interfere with the examination. The authors assume from this recommendation that the thyroid collar should not be used for extraoral procedures on children or adults such as panoramic and cephalometric x-ray image acquisition, since they will interfere with the image acquisition and interpretation of the anatomical structures under examination.

New X-ray Collimation Changes

Collimating the x-ray beam to the precise size of the image receptor eliminates scatter radiation. When scatter radiation strikes the film, sensor, or phosphor plate, the result will be a dramatic reduction in image quality. Most vendors produce large, round cones with large x-ray beam patterns so the operator will be sure to expose the film or receptor. This not only adds scatter radiation, reducing image quality, but also adds significantly to the patients x-ray dose. Interpretation of this report suggests that dentists will have to use a rectangular collimator of the precise size of the image receptor. This will improve the images taken and reduce scatter radiation. This collimation also reduces the patient’s skin surface x-ray dose by almost 60% simply because the beam size is smaller.

The NCRP report No. 145 states the following: Rectangular collimation of the beam shall be used routinely
for periapical radiography. Each dimension of the beam, measured in the plane of the image receptor, should not exceed the dimension of the image receptor by more than 2% of the source-to-image receptor distance. Similar collimation shall be used, when feasible, for interproximal (bitewing) radiography.

**Image Receptor Speed**

Dentists who prefer intraoral ANSI speed D film will need to consider using the faster E- or F-speed film. Although the report cites E-speed film in its shall statement, in reality most dentists in the United States will probably have to adopt F-speed film, since the largest film manufacturer, Eastman Kodak, discontinued the manufacture of E-speed film in December 2001. To be more competitive with the faster phosphor plate and solid-state receptors, Kodak has aggressively marketed In-sight, its F-speed film.

Other film manufacturers still produce E-speed film. Since the committee authoring these guidelines appears to want to require dentists to stop using D-speed film, it is unclear why they did not write their recommendation as a shall statement for the faster F-speed film. The report states the following: Image receptors of speeds slower than ANSI speed Group E films shall not be used for intraoral radiography. Faster receptors should be evaluated and adopted if found acceptable.

For extraoral radiography (i.e., panoramic and cephalometric imaging), slow-speed, blue-fluorescing calcium tungstate screens will no longer be recommended. Since these slower screen phosphors increase the patient’s skin and absorbed x-ray dose, the NCRP is attempting to dissuade practitioners from continued use in favor of the lower-x-ray-dose-producing faster rare-earth systems.

The fastest imaging system consistent with the imaging task shall be used for all extraoral dental radiographic projections. High-speed (400 or greater) rare earth screen-film systems or digital-imaging systems of equivalent or greater speed shall be used.

Dentists can check the speed of their screens by noting the high-speed or high-plus speed labeling on the screen itself or the blue fluorescence when exposed by their intraoral x-ray machine in a darkened room. The clinician simply has to place the x-ray tube close to the screen material and press the exposure button. The screen will then fluoresce the appropriate color. This simple test will tell the dentist what type of screens he or she employs. Dentists can convert panoramic and cephalometric cassettes simply by removing the old screens and replacing them with new screens. Also, the new screens will need to be matched to a compatible film such as green-sensitive T-Mat G film. Although the above recommendation is not very specific regarding digital receptors, the mention of digital image receptors by the NCRP may prompt the practitioner to consider the purchase of a new factory-equipped digital panoramic or pan-ceph machine.

**Cephalometric Imaging**

Practitioners using cephalometric imaging should consider the following: Filters for imaging the soft tissues of the facial profile together with the facial skeleton shall be placed at the x-ray source rather than at the image receptor.

The report further states that the x-ray beam for cephalometric radiography shall be collimated to the area of clinical interest. This prevents unneeded exposures to the patient’s hard and soft tissues. However, this equipment modification may not be easy to achieve without purchasing new cephalometric or pan-ceph machines. Once again, this may prompt some practitioners to convert to factory-equipped digital equipment.

In the commentary portion of the text of the NCRP report, it states, Practitioners need to remember that all structures recorded on the image need to be interpreted for evidence of disease or injury as well as for cephalometric analysis.

This may prompt some practitioners to obtain a report from a board-certified oral and maxillofacial radiologist, the ADAs newest recognized dental specialty. Reporting services are available through dental schools, practicing oral and maxillofacial radiologists, and report services such as the Advanced Dental Board, an oral and maxillofacial radiology consultation group of head and neck radiologists located in Las Vegas. The group provides image interpretation services for implant, TMJ, and other radiological images on a fee-for-service basis. There are also several private practice oral and maxillofacial radiologists who perform these services.
Sight Development of X-ray Film

Dental practitioners who sight-develop film (reading wet x-ray films at the time of a procedure) in order to assess endodontic procedures or bite-wing radiographic interpretation should note the following: Dental radiographic films shall be developed according to the film manufacturers instructions, using the time-temperature method and recommended chemistry or its equivalent. Sight development shall not be used.

This may lead some practitioners to consider conversion to digital intraoral imaging systems using CMOS or CCD sensors, which can immediately display the image in the operatory.

Shielding, Barriers, and Dosimeters

For practitioners designing new offices or remodeling existing locations, the shielding design will need to be provided by a qualified expert. However, construction materials other than lead are permitted, and the adequacy of the shielding must be determined. Regarding these issues, the Report states the following: Shielding design by a qualified expert shall be provided for all new or remodeled dental facilities. When a conventional building structure does not provide adequate shielding, the shielding shall be increased by providing greater thickness of building materials or by adding lead, gypsum, wallboard, concrete, steel or other suitable material. Adequacy of shielding shall be determined by calculation and checked by survey measurements.

The report further states that operators need to maintain visual contact with the patient during the x-ray exposure; i.e., shielding design for new offices shall provide protective barriers for the operator. The barriers shall be constructed so operators can maintain visual contact and communication with patients throughout the procedures.

This may require modification of some dental offices. Though some practitioners may wish to provide dosimeters for appropriate personnel, most states and institutions do not require this. The report, however, insists that exposed personnel who are pregnant now must be provided with dosimeters; i.e., Provision of personal dosimeters for external exposure measurement shall be considered for workers who are likely to receive an annual effective dose in excess of 1 mSv, and personal dosimeters shall be provided for known pregnant occupationally exposed personnel.

Selection Criteria

Dentists must examine their patients before ordering or prescribing x-ray images, regardless of whether they utilize film, phosphor plate, or solid-state images. Actually, this is not a new guideline. Guidelines on this matter were published several times, several years earlier by the FDA and others and were adopted by the American Dental Association.5-7 The report states, Radiographic examination shall be performed only when indicated by patient history, physical examination by the dentist, or laboratory findings.

The report goes on to define the difference between a symptomatic patient and an asymptomatic patient; i.e., For symptomatic patients, radiographic examination shall be limited to those images required for diagnosis and planned treatment (local or comprehensive) of current disease, and For asymptomatic patients, the extent of radiographic examination of new patients, and the frequency and extent for return patients, shall adhere to published selection criteria. These selection criteria have been published.8-10

There is also a section on administrative radiographs related to institutions such as dental schools and state board examinations, which says none shall be taken if they do not relate to a patients health. Additional recommendations relate to eliminating pointed cones and using only open-ended cones as well as restricting the source-to-image distance to a minimum of 20 cm (approximately 8 inches).

Office Radiation Protection Program, Including Radiation Safety Training of Staff

This section will have a significant impact on the way radiographic procedures are performed, including image processing. If conventional x-ray film image processing is used, it is wise to consider switching to digital imaging because of all the steps necessary to meet these new recommendations. The shall statements/recommendations include (1) having a written quality assurance protocol (manual), (2) having your equipment inspected by a qualified expert, (3) evaluating your chemistry daily, (4) evaluating each type of film used monthly for fog or artifacts, (5) inspecting screen-film cassettes after any accident for integrity.
and performance, (6) repairing any defect found, (7) evaluating your darkroom monthly for leaks or after any
changes are made to filters, lamps, etc, (8) visually inspecting leaded aprons monthly, and (9) providing
training to all x-ray personnel in radiation protection that is sufficient to ensure they understand the
recommendations made in the Lippincott NCRP report.

Conclusion

There is little doubt that the report will stir debate within the profession, but it is clear that the
recommendations will have a considerable impact on the radiographic practices in all oral healthcare
settings. Every dentist must now re-examine his or her radiographic services, protective equipment, and
receptor selections to ensure they are practicing within the accepted standards. In addition, it appears many
dentists will have to change the way they obtain x-ray information for each patient, including prior
examination of each patient to determine his or her precise radiographic needs as based on selection
criteria. Finally, this report appears to make a strong case for dentists to adopt digital imaging systems and
receptors, especially if they wish to avoid some fairly dramatic changes to the radiation protection program,
receptor speeds, filtration, and chemical processing.
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Continuing Education Test No. 57.2

After reading this article, the individual will learn:

The potential impact on his or her dental practice of the profession’s latest dental x-ray safety recommendations, recently published by the National Council on Radiation Protection.

To assess any changes he or she may need to institute in order to update radiographic procedures.

1. The National Council on Radiation Protection was chartered by the United States Congress in 1964 to ______:
   a. collect, analyze, develop, and disseminate information and recommendations about radiation protection in the dental professions interest.
   b. collect, analyze, develop, and disseminate information and recommendations about radiation protection and measurements in the public’s interest.
   c. collect, analyze, develop, and disseminate information and recommendations about radiation protection.
   d. collect, analyze, develop, and disseminate information and recommendations about radiation protection and procedures.

2. A shall statement from the NCRP is written with the intent that ______:
   a. adherence to the standard is considered mandatory.
   b. non-adherence to the standard will result in a penalty or fine.
   c. adherence to the standard is considered necessary to meet accepted standards of the government.
   d. adherence to the standard is considered necessary to meet accepted standards of protection.

3. In the council’s report, leaded aprons ______:
   a. will not be required.
   b. will not be required if all other report recommendations are rigorously followed.
   c. will only be required on pregnant patients.
   d. will not be required on children for intraoral x-ray procedures.

4. According to this report, the use of rectangular collimation shall be used routinely to ______:
   a. reduce the bone marrow dose to the patient.
   b. increase scatter radiation to improve image quality.
   c. collimate the beam precisely to the image receptor.
   d. collimate the beam to reduce the source-to-object distance.
5. The slowest image receptor speed that will be considered acceptable is ______:
   a. D speed.
   b. E speed.
   c. F speed.
   d. digital only.

6. According to this report, the use of screen-film systems shall be:
   a. calcium tungstate.
   b. 200 speed or greater.
   c. 400 speed or greater.
   d. calcium phosphate.

7. In cephalometric imaging procedures, the beam must be ______:
   a. parallel to the patient’s anatomical structures.
   b. collimated to the anterior facial structures only.
   c. collimated to the clinical area of interest.
   d. perpendicular to the long axis of the cranium.

8. Personal radiation dosimeters need to be supplied to which of the following groups?
   a. all auxiliary personnel and dentists
   b. all pregnant workers and office staff
   c. known pregnant, occupationally-exposed personnel
   d. any worker likely to receive less than 1 mSv